


Targeted trainings for QA Officers at Paris Lodron University of Salzburg December 14-16, 2016


Relevant information about the outlook of the programme was provided in advance.

(7 responses)




The contents delivered and the discussions raised during the event/meeting were relevant for you.


(7 responses)




The programme of the event/meeting met your expectations (7 responses)



How do you assess the organization of the event/meeting? (7 responses)




How do you assess the venue and its infrastructure (7 responses)



How do you assess the overall content of the event/meeting? (7 responses)




How do you assess the duration of the event/meeting? (7 responses)



With regard to the content delivered, were there elements and aspects that you missed?

(7 responses)



If so, which? (1 response)

We discussed plagiarism, but we did not discuss what to do when a student cheats in a homework. We did not discuss what the universities do in case of plagiarism. Do we just catch? What to do when cheating in homework? What to do when in a final exam?

Is there anything else you would like to add or share? (1 response)

The programme was rigorously organized with a direct link to the project and it was very relevant to further positive progress of the project.

What would you recommend for future events? (0 responses)

No responses for this question.